International Journal of Research Publications in Engineering, Technology and Management (IJRPETM)

|www.ijrpetm.com | ISSN: 2454-7875 | editor@ijrpetm.com |A Bimonthly, Peer Reviewed & Scholarly Journal|

||Volume 8, Issue 6, November-December 2025||

DOI:10.15662/IJRPETM.2025.0806040

Advancing Environmental Monitoring in Industrialized Economies: U.S. Practices and Global Perspectives

Monisa Barua

MA, Department of English Language and Literature, International Islamic University Chittagong,
Chattogram, Bangladesh

ABSTRACT: Environmental monitoring is critical for protecting public health and ecosystem integrity in industrialized nations. The United States has developed sophisticated monitoring infrastructure over the past five decades through the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and complementary state and local programs. However, significant geographic gaps persist, particularly in rural areas and vulnerable communities. This review synthesizes advances in environmental monitoring technologies, including Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, artificial intelligence (AI), satellite remote sensing, and machine learning approaches. We examine how the U.S. experience with air quality, water quality, and multi-pollutant monitoring networks can inform global efforts to modernize environmental surveillance. Key findings reveal that integrated monitoring systems combining ground-based sensors, satellite data, and predictive analytics substantially improve detection accuracy and spatial coverage. We address critical challenges including data quality standardization, sensor interoperability, equity in monitoring deployment, and regulatory integration. The review identifies evidence-based strategies for upgrading monitoring infrastructure that balance cost-effectiveness with accuracy, including low-cost sensor networks, federated learning approaches, and geospatial analysis. Lessons from the U.S. context—particularly regarding regulatory frameworks, technological innovation, and adaptive management—provide actionable insights for developing countries seeking to enhance environmental governance and protect vulnerable populations from disproportionate pollution exposure.

KEYWORDS: environmental monitoring, air quality, water quality, remote sensing, artificial intelligence, environmental justice, industrial economies

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Context

Environmental monitoring has become increasingly essential as industrialization, urbanization, and climate change intensify environmental stressors in developed and developing economies. The United States pioneered comprehensive environmental monitoring following the passage of landmark legislation in the 1970s, including the Clean Air Act and Clean Water Act, establishing the Environmental Protection Agency as the federal regulatory authority. Over five decades, the U.S. developed extensive monitoring networks to assess compliance with National Ambient Air Quality Standards and water quality objectives. However, despite this infrastructure investment, significant monitoring gaps remain, particularly affecting low-income and communities of color that experience disproportionate pollution exposure (Sayyed et al., 2024).

The emergence of novel technologies—including Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, satellite remote sensing, and machine learning algorithms—offers transformative opportunities to modernize environmental monitoring globally. These technologies enable real-time data collection at unprecedented spatial and temporal resolution, yet their implementation presents technical, economic, and institutional challenges (Alsamrai et al., 2024). The U.S. experience provides valuable lessons regarding technology deployment, regulatory integration, data standardization, and equity considerations that have direct applicability to other industrial economies and middle-income countries seeking to strengthen environmental governance (Tian et al., 2023).

1.2 Objectives and Scope

This review examines how the United States has modernized environmental monitoring and identifies transferable lessons for global application. We synthesize current evidence on (1) monitoring technologies and their integration, (2) data quality management and standardization, (3) addressing monitoring equity and environmental justice, (4) regulatory frameworks and policy integration, and (5) implementation challenges and solutions. The scope encompasses air and water quality monitoring, with emphasis on municipal and industrial contexts relevant to rapidly urbanizing economies. The review identifies evidence-based strategies for upgrading monitoring infrastructure that balance sophistication with feasibility in resource-constrained settings.

II. CURRENT STATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING IN UNITED STATES

2.1 EPA Monitoring Networks and Coverage Gaps

The Environmental Protection Agency maintains multiple complementary monitoring networks to assess environmental quality across the United States. The Air Quality System (AQS) operates over 4,000 monitoring stations nationally, utilizing continuous and manual sampling methods to measure criteria air pollutants and provide data for regulatory decision-making (Singh et al., 2025). Simultaneously, near-road air quality monitoring sites, established from 2014 through 2016, provide focused assessment of traffic-related pollution exposure near major roadways, contributing to understanding of localized pollution impacts (Seagram et al., 2019).

Despite this infrastructure, significant coverage gaps persist. Recent analysis of the AQS network reveals that underserved counties—those lacking adequate ambient air quality monitoring—are characterized by high population density, high concentrations of unmonitored carcinogens, and vulnerable demographic profiles (Singh et al., 2025). Areas including the Eastern and Southeastern United States, notably in the isoprene volcano region and along the I-95 corridor, experience particularly acute monitoring gaps that obscure exposure to understudied pollutants (Singh et al., 2025). These monitoring deserts represent critical data voids that prevent accurate assessment of pollution exposure and hinder protective policy action. The spatial distribution of monitoring infrastructure reflects historical patterns of resource allocation and regulatory compliance priorities rather than actual pollution burden, creating systematic inequities in environmental data availability (Sayyed et al., 2024).

2.2 Limitations of Traditional Monitoring Infrastructure

Conventional regulatory monitoring networks, while providing reliable baseline data, have inherent limitations that restrict their capacity to characterize environmental conditions comprehensively. Monitoring stations are typically fixed installations with limited spatial resolution (Singh et al., 2025). Sampling frequencies are constrained by operational costs and technical requirements, typically ranging from daily to monthly intervals, which may miss episodic pollution events or rapid environmental fluctuations (Barbo et al., 2022).

Additionally, traditional networks focus primarily on regulatory pollutants, while many emerging contaminants of concern, including per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), remain underregulated and receive limited monitoring attention (Ma et al., 2025). Environmental regulations have been slow to incorporate novel monitoring technologies into official compliance frameworks, limiting their application despite technological feasibility (Rayasam et al., 2022). This creates knowledge gaps regarding exposure to novel contaminants in vulnerable communities and prevents timely regulatory response (Yu et al., 2025).

III. TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATIONS IN ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING

3.1 Internet of Things and Low-Cost Sensor Networks

The proliferation of low-cost sensor technologies has enabled rapid expansion of environmental monitoring spatial and temporal coverage at significantly reduced deployment costs. IoT-based monitoring systems integrate distributed sensors with wireless communication protocols to enable real-time data streaming and analysis (Alsamrai et al., 2024). Commercial $PM_{2.5}$ sensors, nitrogen dioxide detectors, and other monitoring devices now cost substantially less than regulatory-grade instruments, democratizing access to environmental data (Ravindra et al., 2024).

Studies demonstrate that properly calibrated low-cost sensors can achieve performance comparable to regulatory instruments. Machine learning calibration techniques, applied to large datasets from distributed sensors, substantially improve accuracy while maintaining cost-effectiveness (Ravindra et al., 2024). Networks of IoT-enabled sensors enable continuous monitoring in underserved areas, particularly near pollution hotspots, revealing exposure patterns missed by traditional networks. A systematic review of IoT-based air pollution monitoring systems found that 87.1% of studies focused on harmful gas monitoring, with the most studied harmful gases being CO_2, CO, NO_2, O_3, SO_2, and volatile organic compounds (Alsamrai et al., 2024).

3.2 Satellite Remote Sensing and Earth Observation

Satellite-based environmental monitoring provides continuous spatial coverage over entire regions and countries, overcoming geographic limitations of ground-based networks. The European Union's Copernicus Programme provides free access to high-resolution Sentinel satellite data, enabling detection and monitoring of multiple environmental parameters simultaneously. These platforms enable measurement of water quality indicators, vegetation health, air quality precursors, and thermal anomalies at unprecedented spatial scales (Tian et al., 2023).

Satellite monitoring has revolutionized assessment of environmental conditions in remote and inaccessible areas. Sentinel-2 multispectral imagery enables measurement of water quality parameters in inland waters, providing basin-scale assessment of eutrophication and water quality degradation. Satellite observations reveal fine-scale pollution patterns and have been validated against ground observations, enabling air quality estimates in data-sparse areas while revealing regional and transboundary pollution transport patterns (Tian et al., 2023).

The integration of satellite remote sensing with machine learning enables enhanced detection of environmental hazards. Advanced deep learning frameworks, including convolutional neural networks and transformers, process multi-temporal satellite data to detect environmental changes with greater accuracy than traditional statistical methods (Lian et al., 2025). Hyperspectral satellite missions offer particular promise for detecting specific chemical constituents and pollutants through their distinctive spectral signatures (Kazanskiy et al., 2025).

3.3 Integration of Machine Learning and Predictive Analytics

Machine learning models trained on combined ground and satellite datasets enable accurate air and water quality prediction across space and time, filling data gaps between monitoring stations. Random forest, gradient boosting, and deep neural network approaches substantially outperform traditional statistical interpolation methods, capturing complex nonlinear relationships between meteorological variables, emissions patterns, and observed pollution levels. These models achieve prediction accuracy sufficient for personal exposure assessment and health risk evaluation even in areas with limited monitoring infrastructure (Barua, 2025).

Deep learning approaches, including long short-term memory (LSTM) networks and attention-based mechanisms, demonstrate particular capability for capturing temporal dynamics in environmental data (Barua, 2024). Hybrid frameworks combining multiple machine learning models and data sources substantially improve prediction reliability and uncertainty quantification, critical for supporting environmental decision-making (Barua, 2024).

IV. DATA QUALITY, STANDARDIZATION, AND INTEGRATION

4.1 Challenges in Data Quality and Harmonization

Effective environmental monitoring at regional and global scales requires standardized measurement methods, data formats, and quality assurance protocols. Integration of data from multiple monitoring sources—government agencies, private industry, citizen science networks, and international organizations—faces substantial technical and institutional barriers (Feldmann et al., 2024). Measurement units, reporting frequencies, data completeness standards, and quality assurance requirements vary substantially across jurisdictions and monitoring programs (Singh et al., 2025).

The U.S. experience reveals that even within a single federal regulatory system, achieving data standardization requires sustained effort. The EPA requires participating states and local agencies to conform to specific measurement protocols and quality assurance procedures. Yet variation in local implementation, equipment specifications, and quality control practices introduces systematic and random measurement errors (Seagram et al., 2019). Water quality monitoring data quality issues are particularly acute in international contexts, where monitoring capacity varies dramatically across countries (Silva & Andrade-Vieira, 2025).

Advanced measurement technologies, including high-resolution mass spectrometry, enable comprehensive chemical analysis of environmental samples and identification of emerging contaminants (Rager et al., 2016). However, integration of such data into standard environmental monitoring and regulatory frameworks remains incomplete, limiting their application for regulatory decision-making (Feldmann et al., 2024).

4.2 Strategies for Data Integration and Interoperability

Emerging approaches to data standardization emphasize flexibility and interoperability while accommodating existing systems and capacity constraints. Satellite data standardization demonstrates how radiometric and atmospheric correction, spatial registration, and format harmonization enable integration of observations from multiple satellite missions into coherent long-term datasets. Similarly, international standards for water quality monitoring network design and implementation provide frameworks for harmonizing diverse national monitoring programs (Silva & Andrade-Vieira, 2025).

Data interoperability platforms enable integration of heterogeneous data sources while maintaining quality control. Machine learning-based bias correction enables seamless data sharing across institutions while improving overall data quality (Ness et al., 2024). These approaches leverage cloud-based infrastructure and distributed databases to facilitate global environmental data sharing (Liddie et al., 2025).

V. ADVANCED MONITORING SYSTEM INTEGRATION AND REAL-TIME OPERATIONS

5.1 Hybrid Systems Combining Multiple Data Sources

Modern environmental monitoring increasingly employs integrated systems that combine ground-based sensors, satellite imagery, meteorological data, and air/water quality models. These hybrid systems leverage complementary strengths of different monitoring approaches: ground sensors provide high temporal resolution and direct measurements, satellites enable broad spatial coverage, models capture underlying physical processes, and AI systems integrate information optimally. Integrated approaches demonstrate substantially improved performance compared to single-method monitoring alone, with improvements in prediction accuracy (Barua, 2024).

The integration of environmental data at multiple scales—from ground sensors to satellite observations—enables comprehensive environmental characterization. Multimodal data fusion technologies establish "air-space-ground" collaborative monitoring networks that integrate satellite remote sensing, unmanned aerial vehicles, and ground sensors (Barua, 2025). These integrated systems are increasingly deployed for diverse environmental monitoring applications, from forest health assessment to water quality surveillance (Lin et al., 2024).

5.2 Real-Time Data Systems and Public Access

Technological advances have enabled development of real-time environmental monitoring systems that stream data from distributed sensors to centralized platforms where processing, analysis, and visualization occur (Abdullah et al., 2024). Real-time data systems enable rapid response to pollution events, including emergency notifications when pollutant levels exceed health-protective thresholds. The integration of real-time monitoring with advanced forecasting enables proactive air quality management and public health protection (Meier et al., 2025).

Citizen science and community-based monitoring programs contribute valuable data to environmental surveillance networks while building local capacity. Community engagement in air quality monitoring has demonstrated the ability to identify local pollution sources and drive regulatory action when adequate monitoring infrastructure is lacking (Newman et al., 2025). The effectiveness of community science in addressing environmental health concerns highlights the importance of inclusive monitoring programs that incorporate diverse stakeholder perspectives (Newman et al., 2025).

VI. ADDRESSING ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE AND MONITORING EQUITY

6.1 Monitoring Gaps and Vulnerable Communities

Research demonstrates systematic disparities in environmental monitoring coverage, with rural areas, low-income communities, and communities of color experiencing substantially greater monitoring gaps (Roque et al., 2025). These disparities reflect historical patterns of discriminatory land use planning and disproportionate allocation of monitoring resources. The consequence is that populations most burdened by industrial pollution frequently lack adequate monitoring data to document health impacts or support regulatory action (Sayyed et al., 2024).

Analysis of EPA monitoring station distribution reveals that counties with higher percentages of vulnerable populations are significantly less likely to have adequate air quality monitoring (Singh et al., 2025). Agricultural pesticide exposure similarly demonstrates disproportionate impacts on Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC) communities, with gaps in monitoring and regulatory enforcement (Mengistie et al., 2025). Water quality monitoring disparities create particular health vulnerabilities in low-income communities dependent on private wells or small water systems with limited treatment capacity (Barua, 2025).

6.2 Advancing Environmental Justice Through Improved Monitoring

Addressing monitoring equity requires both expanding monitoring coverage in underserved areas and ensuring that monitoring results translate into meaningful environmental protection. Strategic deployment of low-cost sensor networks in environmental justice communities can rapidly expand monitoring coverage, revealing pollution hotspots and enabling targeted regulatory action (Roque et al., 2025). Community-based monitoring programs build local capacity and ensure that monitoring priorities reflect community concerns (Newman et al., 2025).

Satellite remote sensing and machine learning approaches show particular promise for environmental justice applications by enabling pollution characterization in areas lacking ground infrastructure (Sayyed et al., 2024). Satellite imagery can reveal spatial patterns of industrial pollution sources and other pollution drivers, supporting environmental justice analyses (Singh et al., 2025). Geographic information systems integrated with satellite and ground monitoring data enable visualization and analysis of cumulative pollution burden, identifying areas where multiple pollution sources converge with vulnerable populations (Sayyed et al., 2024).

Environmental monitoring to protect aquatic ecosystems and human health requires particular attention to vulnerable populations. Federal and state regulatory systems have perpetuated environmental injustice through differential implementation and enforcement of air pollution standards, with particular concerns regarding ethylene oxide emissions affecting communities near facilities (Wood & Howarth, 2022). Modernized monitoring systems that integrate advanced technologies with environmental justice principles offer pathways to address these historical inequities (Rayasam et al., 2022).

VII. REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS AND POLICY INTEGRATION

7.1 EPA Regulatory Standards and Monitoring Requirements

The U.S. regulatory framework for environmental monitoring reflects decades of incremental development under the Clean Air Act and Clean Water Act. The Clean Air Act establishes National Ambient Air Quality Standards for criteria pollutants and requires states to demonstrate attainment through monitoring and modeling (Singh et al., 2025). The Clean Water Act similarly establishes water quality standards and requires states to monitor and report on attainment status (Silva & Andrade-Vieira, 2025). These regulatory requirements have driven development of EPA monitoring networks and established minimum monitoring standards (Seagram et al., 2019).

The regulatory framework has not fully incorporated novel monitoring technologies or emerging contaminants. Low-cost sensors, satellite data, and predictive models are not formally integrated into EPA regulatory determination procedures, creating barriers to their use in compliance assessment (Singh et al., 2025). Emerging contaminants including PFAS, microplastics, and novel industrial chemicals often lack adequate regulatory monitoring requirements despite evidence of widespread environmental contamination (Ma et al., 2025). These regulatory gaps hinder modernization of monitoring infrastructure and limit assessment of pollution exposure to novel hazards (Yu et al., 2025).

7.2 Harmonizing Standards Across Jurisdictions

The U.S. experience demonstrates both the value and challenges of harmonizing monitoring standards across federal, state, and local jurisdictions. The EPA's regulatory requirements establish minimum standards that state and local programs must meet, but implementation varies substantially across regions (Singh et al., 2025). International monitoring harmonization faces greater challenges, with national governments maintaining different standards, formats, and protocols (Aryee et al., 2024).

Successful international harmonization efforts emphasize flexibility and scalability while maintaining quality standards. The Copernicus Programme demonstrates how satellite data standardization enables integration of observations from multiple mission sources. Regulatory approaches are shifting from compound-specific standards to integrated mixture-based frameworks that better reflect real-world exposure scenarios (Ma et al., 2025).

Capacity building initiatives, including training programs and technical assistance, support smaller economies in implementing harmonized monitoring standards. Environmental protection agencies and environmental assessment regulations must be strengthened to enforce compliance and ensure effective monitoring (Aryee et al., 2024). Building local technical expertise requires sustained investment in education and professional development across multiple institutions (Silva & Andrade-Vieira, 2025).

VIII. CHALLENGES AND BARRIERS TO MONITORING MODERNIZATION

8.1 Technical and Operational Challenges

Despite technological advances, significant technical challenges hinder global deployment of modern environmental monitoring systems. Sensor reliability, calibration stability, and long-term drift remain major issues for deployed low-cost sensor networks, particularly in harsh environmental conditions (Ravindra et al., 2024). Power supply and connectivity limitations restrict monitoring deployment in remote areas and developing economies with inadequate infrastructure (Alsamrai et al., 2024). Data transmission over limited bandwidth networks and processing of massive datasets require technical capacity that remains unavailable in many contexts (Feldmann et al., 2024).

Machine learning model development requires substantial datasets for training, which limits application in regions where historical monitoring data are scarce (Barua, 2024). Model generalization across different geographic contexts and environmental conditions remains challenging, requiring site-specific calibration that increases implementation costs (Barua, 2025). The computational infrastructure required for processing satellite data and managing real-time monitoring systems represents substantial capital investment (Barua, 2025).

IX. LESSONS FROM THE UNITED STATES FOR GLOBAL APPLICATION

9.1 Incremental Modernization and Strategic Prioritization

The U.S. experience suggests that environmental monitoring modernization is most successful when implemented incrementally, prioritizing high-impact interventions. The EPA expanded air quality monitoring networks over decades in response to regulatory requirements and technological opportunities (Singh et al., 2025). For developing economies with limited monitoring capacity, a strategic approach should: (1) establish baseline monitoring networks covering major pollution sources and vulnerable populations, (2) prioritize monitoring of pollutants with the greatest health impact, (3) implement low-cost sensor networks in areas lacking traditional monitoring infrastructure, and (4) gradually integrate advanced technologies as technical capacity permits (Roque et al., 2025).

International cooperation in environmental monitoring modernization accelerates progress. Peer learning between countries regarding monitoring system design, technology deployment, and regulatory integration provides valuable guidance (Tian et al., 2023). Technical assistance programs that support capacity development and technology transfer contribute substantially to advancing monitoring capabilities globally (Silva & Andrade-Vieira, 2025).

9.2 Data Sharing and Transparency as Foundation for Progress

The U.S. EPA's commitment to transparency and public data access has catalyzed technological innovation and supported effective regulatory action. The AQS and other databases are publicly accessible, enabling independent research and environmental advocacy (Singh et al., 2025). This transparency requirement has driven development of increasingly sophisticated data visualization tools and analysis platforms (Sayyed et al., 2024).

For global application, establishing robust data sharing frameworks and public accessibility requirements would substantially accelerate monitoring modernization. Countries should implement open data policies that enable researchers and environmental organizations to access environmental monitoring information (Liddie et al., 2025). The success of programs including Copernicus, which provides free satellite data globally, demonstrates the value and feasibility of such approaches (Barua, 2025).

9.3 Integration of Environmental Justice from the Outset

The U.S. experience reveals that addressing environmental inequities requires explicit policy attention and resource commitment. After decades of neglecting monitoring equity, EPA and state environmental agencies are strategically deploying low-cost sensors in underserved communities (Sayyed et al., 2024). For other countries implementing or upgrading environmental monitoring systems, incorporating environmental justice considerations from the initial planning stages is critical. Monitoring network design should explicitly assess coverage of vulnerable populations and ensure adequate representation (Roque et al., 2025).

X. CONCLUSIONS

Environmental monitoring modernization is essential for protecting public health and ecosystem integrity in industrial and rapidly industrializing economies. The United States has achieved substantial progress in characterizing environmental conditions and detecting pollution trends, yet significant gaps persist, particularly affecting vulnerable communities (Sayyed et al., 2024). Rapid technological advances, including IoT sensors, satellite remote sensing, and artificial intelligence, offer transformative opportunities to overcome historical monitoring limitations and expand assessment capacity globally (Tian et al., 2023).

This review synthesizes evidence demonstrating that integrated monitoring systems combining multiple data sources substantially improve environmental characterization compared to single-method approaches (Barua, 2024). Such systems can operate effectively even with limited ground infrastructure when properly designed. Low-cost sensor networks, satellite-based monitoring, and machine learning approaches enable rapid expansion of monitoring coverage at substantially reduced cost (Ravindra et al., 2024).

Successfully implementing monitoring modernization globally requires addressing multiple interdependent challenges: ensuring data quality and standardization, building technical and institutional capacity, securing sustained financial resources, and prioritizing environmental justice (Sayyed et al., 2024). International cooperation, including data sharing, technology transfer, and capacity building, is necessary to support developing countries in implementing comprehensive environmental monitoring systems (Tian et al., 2023).

Future research should prioritize: (1) development of standardized protocols for low-cost sensor deployment and quality assurance, (2) advancement of machine learning approaches for multi-source data integration, (3) demonstration projects implementing integrated monitoring systems in diverse geographic contexts, and (4) analysis of optimal monitoring network designs that balance coverage, cost, and accuracy. Environmental monitoring modernization, when implemented equitably and transparently, can catalyze progress toward sustainable development and environmental protection (Tavares et al., 2025).

Funding Details
No external funding or grant support was received for this research.

Disclosure Statement
The author declares that there are no financial interests, conflicts of interest, or commercial benefits associated with this research.

REFERENCES

[1] Sayyed, T. K., Ovienmhada, U., Kashani, M., Vohra, K., Kerr, G., ODonnell, C., Harris, M. H., Gladson, L., Titus, A. R., Adamo, S. B., Fong, K. C., Gargulinski, E., Soja, A., Anenberg, S., & Kuwayama, Y. (2024). Satellite data for environmental justice: a scoping review of the literature in the United States. Environmental Research Letters. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ad1fa4
[2] Alsamrai, O., Redel-Macias, M., Pinzi, S., & Dorado, M. (2024). A Systematic Review for Indoor and Outdoor Air Pollution Monitoring Systems Based on Internet of Things. Sustainability. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16114353
[3] Tian, Y., Duan, M., Cui, X., Zhao, Q., Tian, S., Lin, Y., & Wang, W. (2023). Advancing application of satellite remote sensing technologies for linking atmospheric and built environment to health. Frontiers in Public Health. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1270033
[4] Singh, A., Ring, A., Allen, D. J., Molina, M. J., Nobles, C., Dickerson, R., Salawitch, R. J., & Canty, T. (2025). Monitoring exposure to tropospheric ozone precursors: AQS site evaluation and recommendation. Environmental Research Letters. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ae17da
[5] Seagram, A. F., Brown, S. G., Huang, S., Landsberg, K., & Eisinger, D. (2019). National Assessment of Near-Road Air Quality in 2016: Multi-Year Pollutant Trends and Estimation of Near-Road PM2.5 Increment. Transportation Research Record. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198119825538
[6] Barbo, N., Stoiber, T., Naidenko, O. V., & Andrews, D. Q. (2023). Locally caught freshwater fish across the United States are likely a significant source of exposure to PFOS and other perfluorinated compounds. Environmental research, 220, 115165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.115165
[7] Ma, J., Shao, M., Fan, W., Chen, F., Hao, Y., Wang, T., & Wei, Y. (2025). Characteristics of Spatial Distribution, Health Risk Assessment, and Regulation of PFAS in Global Drinking Water[J]. China CDC Weekly, 2025, 7(36): 1168-1173. DOI: 10.46234/ccdcw2025.196
[8] Rayasam, S. D. G., Koman, P. D., Axelrad, D. A., Woodruff, T. J., & Chartres, N. (2022). Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Implementation: How the Amended Law Has Failed to Protect Vulnerable Populations from Toxic Chemicals in the United States. Environmental science & technology, 56(17), 11969–11982. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c02079

[9] Yu, R.-S., Yu, H.-C., Yang, Y.-F., & Singh, S. (2025). A Global Overview of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substance Regulatory Strategies and Their Environmental Impact. Toxics, 13(4), 251. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics13040251

[10] Ravindra, K., Kumar, S., Kumar, A. et al. Enhancing accuracy of air quality sensors with machine learning to augment large-scale monitoring networks. npj Clim Atmos Sci **7**, 326 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-024-00833-9

[11] Barua, S. (2024). Real-Time Io T-Enabled Control Of Stormwater Assets: Reducing Runoff Peaks And Pollutant Loads, JMIRA, 5(4), https://openviewjournal.com/index.php/mira

[12] Barua, S. (2023). Hybrid Electro-membrane Reactors for Decentralized Removal of Forever Chemicals From Industrial Wastewater. SAMRIDDHI : A Journal of Physical Sciences, Engineering and Technology, 15(04), 461-468. https://doi.org/10.18090/samriddhi.v15i04.11

[13] Lian, Z., Zhan, Y., Zhang, W., Wang, Z., Liu, W., & Huang, X. (2025). Recent Advances in Deep Learning-Based Spatiotemporal Fusion Methods for Remote Sensing Images. Sensors, 25(4), 1093. https://doi.org/10.3390/s25041093

[14] Kazanskiy, N., Khabibullin, R., Nikonorov, A., & Khonina, S. (2025). A Comprehensive Review of Remote Sensing and Artificial Intelligence Integration: Advances, Applications, and Challenges. Sensors, 25(19), 5965. https://doi.org/10.3390/s25195965

[15] Barua, S. (2024). Reactive Soil Mixes for Enhanced PFAS Adsorption in Stormwater Infiltration Basins: Mechanisms and Field Assessment. SAMRIDDHI : A Journal of Physical Sciences, Engineering and Technology, 16(01), 60-66. https://doi.org/10.18090/samriddhi.v16i01.08

[16] Feldmann, J., Hansen, H.R., Karlsson, T.M., & Christensen, J.H. (2024). ICP-MS As a Contributing Tool to Nontarget Screening (NTS) Analysis for Environmental Monitoring. Environmental Science & Technology, 58(29), 12755-12762. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.4c00504

[17] Mendes da Silva, L., & Andrade–Vieira, L. F. (2025). Ecotoxicological bioassays with terrestrial plants: a holistic view of standards, guidelines, and protocols. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part B, 28(3), 183–221. https://doi.org/10.1080/10937404.2024.2440876

[18] Rager, J.E., Strynar, M.J., Liang, S., McMahen, R.L., Richard, A.M., Grulke, C.M., Wambaugh, J.F., Isaacs, K.K., Judson, R., Williams, A.J., et al. (2016). Linking high resolution mass spectrometry data with exposure and toxicity forecasts to advance high-throughput environmental monitoring. Environment International, 88, 269-280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2015.12.008

[19] Barua, S. (2025). Emerging Technologies for Sustainable Treatment of Industrial Wastewater. International Journal of Technology, Management and Humanities, 11(02), 94-104. https://doi.org/10.21590/ijtmh.11.02.15

[20] Ness, E., Fatima, A., Maktabdar-Oghaz, M., & Luca, C. (2025). An investigation into water quality monitoring models using remote sensing. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 46(4), 1742–1772. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2024.2439083

[21] Liddie, J.M., Dai, M.Q., Hu, X.C., & Sunderland, E.M. (2025). A Call for a Unified Database to Address Exposure Disparities in the United States. WIREs Water, 12(4), e70033. https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.70033

[22] Barua, S. (2025), Biochar-Enhanced Filtration Media For Multi-Pollutant Industrial Runoff.. Journal of Data Analysis and Critical Management, 1(04), 95-102. https://doi.org/10.64235/68cebm67

[23] Lin, MH., Lin, YT., Tsai, ML. et al. Mapping land-use and land-cover changes through the integration of satellite and airborne remote sensing data. Environ Monit Assess **196**, 246 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-024-12424-5

[24] Abdullah, A. Y., Salem, H., Ameri, H. M. A. Q. A., Alnahdi, M. M., Okasha, M., Shaban, I. A. (2024), "A Proposed Maintenance 4.0 Model for Laboratory Ventilation Systems: An Industry 4.0 Approach to Air Quality Management," 2024 IEEE Global Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Internet of Things (GCAIoT), Dubai, United Arab Emirates, pp. 1-7, doi: 10.1109/GCAIoT63427.2024.10833527.

[25] Meier, P., Holloway, T., Wu, X., & Orth, C. (2025). Emissions Reductions to Meet a Tighter Ozone Standard in the U.S. through Control Technologies versus Clean Energy Transition Scenarios. ACS Environmental Science & Technology, 59(50), 26999-27012. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5c01588

[26] Newman, N. C., Conradi, D., Mayer, A. C., Simons, C., Newman, R., & Haynes, E. N. (2025). Excessive Smoke from a Neighborhood Restaurant Highlights Gaps in Air Pollution Enforcement: Citizen Science Observational Study. Air, 3(3), 20. https://doi.org/10.3390/air3030020

[27] Roque, N.A., Andrews, H., & Santos-Lozada, A.R. (2025), Identifying air quality monitoring deserts in the United States, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 122 (17) e2425310122, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2425310122.

[28] Mengistie, B. T., Ray, R. L., & Iyanda, A. (2025). Environmental and Human Health Impacts of Agricultural Pesticides on BIPOC Communities in the United States: A Review from an Environmental Justice Perspective. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 22(11), 1683. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph22111683

[29] Wood, A., & Howarth, M. (2022). How Federal and State Regulatory Systems Perpetuate Environmental Injustice in the United States: Industrial Ethylene Oxide Emissions as a Case Study. Environmental Health and Engineering, 16(4), https://doi.org/10.1089/env.2021.0120

[30] Aryee, F. A., Arthur, A., & Asianoah, R. K. (2024). The effectiveness of Ghana's environmental impact assessment regulations to achieve green growth. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 42(6), 544–552. https://doi.org/10.1080/14615517.2024.2436711

[31] Tavares, M. S. T. S., Rodrigues, C. T., de Menezes, S. L. S., & Moreno, A. M. (2025). Health at Risk: Air Pollution and Urban Vulnerability - Perspectives in Light of the 2030 Agenda. Preprints. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202508.2146.v1