International Journal of Research Publications in Engineering, Technology and Management (IJRPETM)

|www.ijrpetm.com | ISSN: 2454-7875 | editor@ijrpetm.com |A Bimonthly, Peer Reviewed & Scholarly Journal|

||Volume 9, Issue 1, January-February 2026||

DOI:10.15662/IJRPETM.2026.0901016

Floods and Landslide Prediction Using Machine Learning

Lakshmi Keerthana. M[1], Mounika. G[2], Abhinaya. K[3], Zakeer MD[4]. Koushik Chowdary.M[5] Bhagyaraj. K[6], Dr.Dharnasi Prasad[7]

Student, B.Tech CSE 4th Year, Holy Mary Inst. of Tech. and Science, Hyderabad, TG, India[1-5]

Assistant Professor, Dept. of CSE, Holy Mary Inst. of Tech. and Science, Hyderabad, TG, India[6]

Professor, Dept. of CSE, Holy Mary Inst. of Tech. and Science, Hyderabad, TG, India[7]

Publication History: Received: 18.01.2026; Revised: 18.02.2026; Accepted: 21.02. 2026; Published: 28.02.2026**.**

ABSTRACT: Natural disasters such as floods and landslides cause a large number of deaths and destruction of property and infrastructure every year, especially in regions that are prone to such disasters. Early prediction of natural disasters is very important for their effective management. Conventional methods of prediction involve the use of physical models and manual processing, which are often prone to inaccuracies owing to the complexities involved in environmental interactions and the rapidly changing climate patterns. To overcome these difficulties, this project proposes the use of a machine learning technique for predicting floods and landslides. The proposed system takes into account past and current data related to rainfall intensity, water levels in rivers, soil moisture content, slope, land use, temperature, and geological conditions.

Different machine learning algorithms such as Decision Tree, Random Forest, Support Vector Machine (SVM), and Logistic Regression are trained and tested to identify patterns and relationships associated with floods and landslides. Techniques for data preprocessing, such as normalization, feature selection, and handling missing data, are also implemented.

KEYWORDS: Support Vector Machine, Random Forest, Gradient Boosting and Artifical Neural Networks (ANN)

I. INTRODUCTION

Floods and landslides are very destructive natural disasters, resulting in heavy human, economic, and environmental losses. The occurrence and severity of these disasters have been rising with urbanization, deforestation, and global warming, making accurate prediction absolutely essential. Conventional approaches like hydrological models, geological studies, and manual monitoring are often overwhelmed by large and complex datasets and are incapable of providing timely warnings. Machine learning (ML) is an effective alternative that uses a wide range of data types, including rainfall data, soil moisture levels, satellite images, digital elevation models, and past disaster data. Machine learning algorithms can detect complex patterns and interdependencies between various environmental variables. Decision trees, random forests, support vector machines, convolutional neural networks (CNNs), and long short-term memory (LSTM) networks have proved to be very effective in predicting floods and landslide risk mapping. By integrating supervised and unsupervised learning, machine learning systems can provide near-real-time predictions and warnings.

These systems help policymakers, disaster managers, and communities take proactive steps for risk reduction and resilience building. As data and computing resources become more widely available, machine learning is becoming increasingly indispensable in flood and landslide disaster mitigation and disaster preparedness efforts around the world.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Flood and landslide prediction has been extensively researched using machine learning and deep learning approaches. Mosavi et al. (2018) discussed one of the early works on flood prediction using machine learning approaches such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), regression algorithms, and ensemble learning. The authors demonstrated the effectiveness of machine learning algorithms for rainfall-runoff modeling and river discharge prediction. However, the

International Journal of Research Publications in Engineering, Technology and Management (IJRPETM)

|www.ijrpetm.com | ISSN: 2454-7875 | editor@ijrpetm.com |A Bimonthly, Peer Reviewed & Scholarly Journal|

||Volume 9, Issue 1, January-February 2026||

DOI:10.15662/IJRPETM.2026.0901016

authors mostly discussed traditional machine learning approaches and did not discuss deep learning or hybrid models, which could be less effective for complex hydrological patterns. With the evolution of deep learning, Zhao et al. (2024) investigated the use of deep learning models for hydrological forecasting. The authors applied LSTM, CNN-LSTM, and attention-based models to effectively model spatial and temporal dependencies in flood data. The authors demonstrated a substantial improvement in flood prediction accuracy compared to traditional approaches. Although the advantages are numerous, the authors mentioned that deep learning models have poor interpretability, making them less effective for real-time decision support systems. To overcome the problem of poor interpretability, Huang (2024) specifically addressed the interpretability of CNN-LSTM flood prediction models. The author applied explainable AI techniques such as SHAP and LIME to better understand the role of input variables. Hybrid machine learning approaches have also been considered for disaster prediction. Kainthura et al. (2022) introduced hybrid machine learning models for landslide susceptibility mapping by incorporating algorithms like Random Forest, SVM, and Logistic Regression, along with terrain and DEM variables. The model showed improved susceptibility prediction; however, issues like spatial heterogeneity and class imbalance are still unsolved. Further progress was made by Huang et al. (2024), who used deep learning approaches for landslide susceptibility mapping.

The authors combined CNN with feature selection techniques such as PCA and RFE for improved accuracy. Although the model showed promising results, the authors emphasized the need for more extensive datasets and improved terrain transferability to improve model generalizability.

Lastly, Asif (2025) presented a comparative analysis of machine learning models for flood forecasting.

The literature review evaluated models based on performance criteria such as NSE, RMSE, and R^2 and emphasized the growing need for physics-informed machine learning. Nevertheless, the authors emphasized the presence of substantial gaps in uncertainty estimation and missing data sensitivity, which still act as research challenges.

III. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Floods and landslides are some of the most hazardous natural disasters that result in serious damage to human life, property, agriculture, and infrastructure. These natural disasters occur due to heavy rainfall, soil type, slope, water levels in rivers, and environmental changes. The existing prediction techniques are not reliable and do not offer early warnings in time, which makes them more hazardous and damaging.

In recent years, the availability of environmental data such as rainfall, temperature, humidity, soil moisture, and geographical data has improved. However, manual analysis of such a large amount of data is not feasible and requires a lot of time. There is a need for an intelligent system that can analyze the data and offer predictions about the possibility of floods and landslides in advance.

Machine Learning offers efficient algorithms that can learn from past data and offer accurate predictions. It is possible to develop a prediction system that can detect areas prone to natural disasters using Machine Learning algorithms. Thus, the primary problem is to develop a prediction system using Machine Learning algorithms that can analyze environmental and geographical data to accurately predict floods and landslides.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

4.1 Data Collection

We collect relevant data from different trustworthy sources like meteorological departments, satellite images, geological surveys, and hydrological databases. The dataset is comprised of variables like rainfall intensity, water levels in rivers, soil moisture, slope angle, land use, height, temperature, and past flood and landslide events.

4.2 Data Pre-processing

We clean the collected data to remove missing, noisy, and inconsistent data points. We apply normalization and scaling techniques to normalize all features. Categorical variables, such as land use types, are transformed into numerical variables.

|www.ijrpetm.com | ISSN: 2454-7875 | editor@ijrpetm.com |A Bimonthly, Peer Reviewed & Scholarly Journal|

||Volume 9, Issue 1, January-February 2026||

DOI:10.15662/IJRPETM.2026.0901016

4.3 Feature Selection and Extraction
We select significant features that have a major influence on flood and landslide events using statistical analysis and correlation analysis. This step helps in reducing dimensionality, improving model accuracy, and simplifying computations.

4.4 Dataset Splitting
We split the pre-processed dataset into training and testing datasets, typically in a 70:30 or 80:20 split. The training dataset is used to develop the predictive model, and the testing dataset is used to evaluate its performance.

4.5 Model Selection
We use different machine learning algorithms for prediction, such as:
- Logistic Regression
- Decision Tree
- Random Forest
- Support Vector Machine (SVM)
- Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)

These models are selected for their effectiveness in classification and prediction tasks related to natural disasters.

4.6 Model Training
We train the selected machine learning models using the training dataset. During training, the models learn the patterns and relationships between environmental factors and the occurrence of floods and landslides.

4.7 Model Evaluation
We evaluate model performance using metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and ROC-AUC. We also use confusion matrices to analyze correct and incorrect predictions. The best-performing model is picked for final prediction.

4.8 Prediction and Risk Assessment
The trained model predicts the chances of floods and landslides for new or real-time data. The output is categorized into risk levels like low, moderate, and high risk. This helps with disaster preparedness and early warning systems.

4.9 Visualization and Alert Generation
We visualize prediction results using graphs, maps, or dashboards. High-risk areas are marked, and we can generate alerts to help government agencies and disaster management authorities take preventive actions.

4.10 System Validation and Improvement
We continually update the model with new data to enhance prediction accuracy. We monitor performance over time and periodically retrain the model to keep up with changing environmental conditions.

V. CONCLUSION

This project has successfully demonstrated the capability of machine learning to predict floods and landslides based on historical and environmental data. By analyzing critical parameters such as the intensity of rainfall, water levels in rivers, soil moisture, slope, and land characteristics, the model was able to detect areas that are susceptible to natural disasters.We have tested various machine learning models and implemented them. Among these, ensemble models such as Random Forest were found to be more accurate, precise, and reliable in terms of recall. The results clearly indicate that machine learning algorithms are significantly better than conventional statistical and rule-based models for flood and landslide prediction.

The system provides risk levels classified as low, medium, and high. This is very helpful in making early warnings and taking timely action. These predictions can assist disaster management teams in planning evacuation procedures, thereby saving lives and preventing damage to infrastructure.

Although the system is highly accurate, its results are dependent on the quality of data. However, the system is still scalable and can be modified to be used in real-time by incorporating sensor and satellite data.

|www.ijrpetm.com | ISSN: 2454-7875 | editor@ijrpetm.com |A Bimonthly, Peer Reviewed & Scholarly Journal|

||Volume 9, Issue 1, January-February 2026||

DOI:10.15662/IJRPETM.2026.0901016

REFERENCES

1. Poornima, G., & Anand, L. (2025). Medical image fusion model using CT and MRI images based on dual scale weighted fusion based residual attention network with encoder–decoder architecture. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, *108*, 107932.
2. Saravanan, M., & Sivakumaran, T. S. (2016). Three phase dual input direct matrix converter for integration of two AC sources from wind turbines. *Circuits and Systems, 7*, 3807–3817.
3. Feroz, A., Pranay, D., Srikar Sai Raj, B., Harsha Vardhan, C., Rohith Raja, B., Nirmala, B., & Dharnasi, P. (2026). Blockchain and machine learning combined secured voting system. *International Journal of Research Publications in Engineering, Technology and Management (IJRPETM), 9*(1), 119–124.
4. Madheswaran, M., Dhanalakshmi, R., Ramasubramanian, G., Aghalya, S., Raju, S., & Thirumaraiselvan, P. (2024, April). Advancements in immunization management for personalized vaccine scheduling with IoT and machine learning. In *2024 10th International Conference on Communication and Signal Processing (ICCSP)* (pp. 1566–1570). IEEE.
5. Inbavalli, M., & Arasu, T. (2015). Efficient analysis of frequent item set association rule mining methods. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, 6*(4).
6. Varshini, M., Chandrapathi, M., Manirekha, G., Balaraju, M., Afraz, M., Sarvanan, M., & Dharnasi, P. (2026). ATM access using card scanner and face recognition with AIML. *International Journal of Research Publications in Engineering, Technology and Management (IJRPETM), 9*(1), 113–118.
7. Kiran, A., Rubini, P., & Kumar, S. S. (2025). Comprehensive review of privacy, utility and fairness offered by synthetic data. *IEEE Access.*
8. Ananth, S., Radha, D. K., Prema, D. S., & Nirajan, K. (2019). Fake news detection using convolution neural network in deep learning. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering, 7*(1), 49–63.
9. Akula, A., Budha, G., Bingi, G., Chanda, U., Borra, A. R., Yadav, D. B., & Saravanan, M. (2026). Emotion recognition from facial expressions using CNNs. *International Journal of Engineering & Extended Technologies Research (IJEETR), 8*(1), 120–125.
10. Dharnasi, P. (2025). A multi-domain AI framework for enterprise agility integrating retail analytics with SAP modernization and secure financial intelligence. *International Journal of Humanities and Information Technology, 7*(4), 61–66.
11. Lakshmi, A. J., Dasari, R., Chilukuri, M., Tirumani, Y., Praveena, H. D., & Kumar, A. P. (2023, May). Design and implementation of a smart electric fence built on solar with an automatic irrigation system. In *2023 2nd International Conference on Applied Artificial Intelligence and Computing (ICAAIC)* (pp. 1553–1558). IEEE.
12. Sakthivel, T. S., Ragupathy, P., & Chinnadurai, N. (2025). Solar system integrated smart grid utilizing hybrid coot-genetic algorithm optimized ANN controller. *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transactions of Electrical Engineering*, 1–24.
13. Sugumar, R. (2025). Explainable AI-driven secure multi-modal analytics for financial fraud detection and cyber-enabled pharmaceutical network analysis. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science & Technology (IJARCST), 8*(6), 13239–13249.
14. Yashwanth, K., Adithya, N., Sivaraman, R., Janakiraman, S., & Rengarajan, A. (2021, July). Design and development of pipelined computational unit for high-speed processors. In *2021 12th International Conference on Computing Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT)* (pp. 1–5). IEEE.
15. Saravanan, M., Kumar, A. S., Devasaran, R., Seshadri, G., & Sivaganesan, S. (2019). Performance analysis of very sparse matrix converter using indirect space vector modulation. *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering, 9*(1), 4756–4762.
16. Poornachandar, T., Latha, A., Nisha, K., Revathi, K., & Sathishkumar, V. E. (2025, September). Cloud-based extreme learning machines for mining waste detoxification efficiency. In *2025 4th International Conference on Innovative Mechanisms for Industry Applications (ICIMIA)* (pp. 1348–1353). IEEE.
17. Aashiq Banu, S., Sucharita, M. S., Soundarya, Y. L., Nithya, L., Dhivya, R., & Rengarajan, A. (2020). Robust image encryption in transform domain using duo chaotic maps—A secure communication. In *Evolutionary Computing and Mobile Sustainable Networks: Proceedings of ICECMSN 2020* (pp. 271–281). Springer.
18. Prasanna, D., & Manishvarma, R. (2025, February). Skin cancer detection using image classification in deep learning. In *2025 3rd International Conference on Integrated Circuits and Communication Systems (ICICACS)* (pp. 1–8). IEEE.

|www.ijrpetm.com | ISSN: 2454-7875 | editor@ijrpetm.com |A Bimonthly, Peer Reviewed & Scholarly Journal|

||Volume 9, Issue 1, January-February 2026||

DOI:10.15662/IJRPETM.2026.0901016

19. Amitha, K., Ram Manohar Reddy, M., Yashwanth, K., Shylaja, K., Rahul Reddy, M., Srinu, B., & Dharnasi, P. (2026). AI empowered security monitoring system with the help of deployed ML models. *International Journal of Computer Technology and Electronics Communication (IJCTEC), 9*(1), 69–73.

20. Sammy, F., Chettier, T., Boyina, V., Shingne, H., Saluja, K., Mali, M., ... & Shobana, A. (2025). Deep learning-driven visual analytics framework for next-generation environmental monitoring. *Journal of Applied Science and Technology Trends*, 114–122.

21. Prasanna, D., Ahamed, N. A., Abinesh, S., Karthikeyan, G., & Inbatamilan, R. (2024, November). Cloud-based automatically human document authentication processes for secured system. In *2024 International Conference on Integrated Intelligence and Communication Systems (ICIICS)* (pp. 1–7). IEEE.

22. Ananth, S., Radha, D. K., Prema, D. S., & Nirajan, K. (2019). Fake news detection using convolution neural network in deep learning. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering, 7*(1), 49–63.

23. Karthikeyan, K., & Umasankar, P. (2025). A novel buck-boost modified series forward (BBMSF) converter for enhanced efficiency in hybrid renewable energy systems. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal, 16*(10), 103557.

24. Karthikeyan, K., Umasankar, P., Parathraju, P., Prabha, M., & Pulivarthy, P. Integration and analysis of solar vertical axis wind hybrid energy system using modified zeta converter.