International Journal of Research Publications in Engineering, Technology and Management (IJRPETM)

|www.ijrpetm.com | ISSN: 2454-7875 | editor@ijrpetm.com |A Bimonthly, Peer Reviewed & Scholarly Journal|

||Volume 3, Issue 1, January-February 2020||

DOI:10.15662/IJRPETM.2020.0301002

Design and Development of Wearable Exoskeleton Systems for Industrial and Healthcare Applications

Khushwant Singh

NET, Jalore, Rajasthan, India

ABSTRACT: Wearable exoskeleton systems are revolutionizing both industrial and healthcare sectors by enhancing strength, supporting rehabilitation, and reducing musculoskeletal strain. This paper examines design principles, development methodologies, and application-specific considerations for wearable exoskeletons, drawing on pre-2019 case studies and pioneering systems. Key industrial examples include DARPA's BLEEX (Berkeley Lower Extremity Exoskeleton) and Ekso Bionics devices, which assist in load carriage and ease fatigue in labor-intensive tasks. In healthcare, systems like HAL (Hybrid Assistive Limb) and ReWalk have enabled paraplegic patients to walk, while upper-limb rehabilitation platforms such as CLEVERarm demonstrate modular, ergonomic, and multi-DOF joint designs. The paper synthesizes literature on comfort-optimized mechanics (e.g., rolling knee joints, backdrivable actuation), biomechanical control (torque compensation for minimizing joint stress), and passive assistive wearables (e.g., Archelis wearable chair). A consolidated design methodology is proposed—from need assessment through ergonomics, actuation, control architecture, and field validation. Findings show that successful exoskeletons balance mechanical efficiency, comfort, and intuitive control; industrial exosystems emphasize payload support, while healthcare systems prioritize alignment and user autonomy. Advantages include reduced injury risk, enhanced mobility, and quality of life; challenges entail weight, bulk, cost, battery limitations, and regulatory hurdles. The conclusion highlights the necessity of multidisciplinary integration—mechanical design, control engineering, ergonomics, and user-centered validation—for exoskeleton success. Future work should focus on lighter materials, improved human–machine interfaces, compliant and soft designs, longer battery life, and rigorous safety evaluation.

KEYWORDS: Wearable Exoskeleton, Industrial Exoskeleton, Healthcare Exoskeleton, Rehabilitation, Ergonomic Design, Backdrivable, Biomechanical Control, BLEEX, HAL, ReWalk, CLEVERarm, Passive Assistive Device

I. INTRODUCTION

Wearable exoskeleton systems have emerged as transformative technologies across two critical domains: industrial assistance and medical rehabilitation. In industrial settings, physical labor often leads to musculoskeletal disorders and fatigue; exoskeletons such as BLEEX (Berkeley Lower Extremity Exoskeleton) developed under DARPA support (early 2000s) provide powered augmentation to enable load carriage and endurance [WIREDWikipedia]. Passive and powered designs like Ekso Bionics aid construction workers by redistributing tool weight, mitigating fatigue without complex electronics [TIME].

In healthcare, exoskeletons restore mobility for individuals with spinal injuries—HAL (Hybrid Assistive Limb) and ReWalk have enabled paraplegic patients to walk, earning safety and regulatory milestones in Japan, Europe, and the US by the early 2010s [Wikipedia+1]. Upper-limb rehabilitation devices such as CLEVERarm (≤2017) provide multi-DOF support to facilitate coordinated shoulder, elbow, and wrist motion [arXiv].

A paramount challenge across applications is achieving mechanical alignment and comfort. Designs like the rolling knee joint and backdrivable knee exoskeleton reduce misalignment and resistance [arXiv]. Torque compensation controllers in leg exoskeletons can halve joint load during running, highlighting the importance of biomechanical control [arXiv]. Additionally, soft and passive support systems—such as wearable "chairs" (Archelis)—offer fatigue mitigation with minimal active components [Wikipedia].

This paper synthesizes these developments, aiming to outline a holistic design and development methodology for wearable exoskeletons, focusing on ergonomics, actuation, control, and application-driven evaluation.

|www.ijrpetm.com | ISSN: 2454-7875 | editor@ijrpetm.com |A Bimonthly, Peer Reviewed & Scholarly Journal|

||Volume 3, Issue 1, January-February 2020||

DOI:10.15662/IJRPETM.2020.0301002

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Industrial Exoskeletons

- **BLEEX (Berkeley)** – A DARPA-funded lower-limb powered exoskeleton for load carriage (~2003–2004) enabling enhanced endurance in demanding tasks [WIREDWikipedia].
- **Ekso Bionics** – Passive and low-tech devices assist workers by redistributing heavy tool loads, reducing musculoskeletal strain without motors [TIME].
- **Industrial Passive Devices** – Reviews indicate passive exoskeletons can reduce back strain and are light and cost-effective; active devices are heavier but offer stronger assistance [PMCEmerald].
- **Archelis** – A wearable chair aiding surgeons by enabling sitting in place while standing for long periods [Wikipedia].

Healthcare/Rehabilitation Exoskeletons

- **HAL (Hybrid Assistive Limb)** – Developed in Japan; FDA and CE certifications granted by ~2013; used in rehabilitation and industrial/diaster-response tasks [Wikipedia].
- **ReWalk** – Commercial exoskeleton enabling paraplegic walking; began clinical trials around 2009, receiving FDA approval for personal use by 2014 [Wikipedia].
- **CLEVERarm** – Ergonomic, low-weight upper-limb exoskeleton with eight DOFs, enhancing rehabilitation capabilities by 2017 [arXiv].

Design and Control Considerations

- **Comfort-Centered Mechanics** – Rolling joints, low-impedance transmission, and strap optimization reduce discomfort and misalignment [arXiv].
- **Torque Compensation Controllers** – Active control strategies significantly reduce biomechanical load during dynamic tasks [arXiv].

These sources collectively inform a structure for design: platform selection (passive/active), ergonomic mechanics, control architecture, and domain-specific validation.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

We propose a multi-phase, application-driven methodology for wearable exoskeleton design:

1. **Needs and Context Analysis**
2. Define target use-case—industrial assistance (e.g., lifting, tool support) or healthcare rehabilitation (e.g., gait restoration, upper-limb therapy).
3. **User-Centered Requirements Gathering**
4. Conduct ergonomic assessments with end-users to capture joint movement ranges, load support needs, comfort zones.
5. **Mechanism Design and Modeling**
6. Develop mechanical concepts incorporating comfort features (e.g., rolling joints, soft straps). Model joint kinematics and human morphology to ensure alignment [arXiv].
7. **Hardware/Actuation Selection**
8. Decide between passive (springs, damping) vs. powered actuation (electric motors, hydraulics), considering weight, power availability, and assistance magnitude.
9. **Control Strategy Development**
10. For powered systems, implement torque-compensating controllers to minimize biomechanical load alongside human intent detection strategies (myoelectric or motion-based).
11. **Prototype Fabrication and Human-in-the-Loop Testing**
12. Build prototypes; conduct simulation and field testing to evaluate support performance—e.g., load carriage in BLEEX, torque reduction in leg exoskeletons [arXiv].
13. **Iterative Optimization**
14. Refine designs based on user feedback, measuring comfort, ROM, power-to-weight ratios, and assistance efficacy.
15. **Application-Specific Validation**
16. Industrial use: assess fatigue reduction, task throughput. Healthcare use: evaluate mobility gains, rehabilitation progress.

This methodology balances human ergonomics, engineering constraints, and real-world functionality.

|www.ijrpetm.com | ISSN: 2454-7875 | editor@ijrpetm.com |A Bimonthly, Peer Reviewed & Scholarly Journal|

||Volume 3, Issue 1, January-February 2020||

DOI:10.15662/IJRPETM.2020.0301002

IV. KEY FINDINGS

Our synthesized findings reveal the following insights:

- **Passive Exoskeletons excel in ergonomics and ease of use**, offering injury risk reduction with minimal complexity (e.g., Ekso passive architecture, Archelis wearable chair).
- **Powered systems such as BLEEX and HAL deliver significant augmentation**, but at the expense of increased weight, complexity, and the need for power supply.
- **Ergonomic alignment strategies**, such as rolling joints and low-impedance actuators, dramatically enhance comfort and reduce misalignment torque [arXiv].
- **Torque compensation controllers** in powered lower-limb exoskeletons effectively reduce joint load during dynamic tasks such as running, halving knee torque [arXiv].
- **Rehabilitation exoskeletons (e.g., CLEVERarm)** demonstrate that multi-DOF and intricate upper-limb movement support are feasible and beneficial when weight and form are carefully optimized [arXiv].
- **User acceptance hinges on comfort, weight, and usability**; studies show lightweight passive exoskeletons yield high user satisfaction [PMC].

Collectively, these outcomes suggest that hybrid or domain-specific optimized exoskeletons are most effective, marrying ergonomics with active support as needed.

V. WORKFLOW

1. **Define Target Application** – Industrial support or medical rehabilitation.
2. **Document User Needs** – Ergonomic fit, joint ranges, load requirements, mobility levels.
3. **Design Concepts** – Develop skeleton designs with features like rolling joints, backdrivability, lightweight frames.
4. **Select Actuation Type** – Choose passive or powered based on task and energy constraints.
5. **Control Architecture** – Design torque compensation and human intent detection for powered systems.
6. **Build Prototype** – Create mechanical shell and integrate sensors, actuators.
7. **Human-in-the-Loop Testing** – Evaluate joint loads, range of motion, comfort, fatigue in realistic scenarios.
8. **Iterate Design** – Optimize mechanics, control, and user interface based on feedback.
9. **Application Validation** – Measure outcomes: productivity/fatigue reduction in industry; gait or limb function gains in healthcare.
10. **Field Deployment & Monitoring** – For industrial: observe in workplaces; for healthcare: track rehabilitation progress over time.

This workflow ensures human-centred design, technical rigor, and validated performance.

VI. ADVANTAGES & DISADVANTAGES

Advantages

- Enhances human strength and endurance in industrial and therapeutic contexts.
- Reduces risk of injury and supports rehabilitation.
- Adaptable across domains (industrial vs medical) with modular design.
- Ergonomic design strategies improve comfort and adoption.

Disadvantages

- Powered exoskeletons are heavy, complex, and require battery support.
- High development and manufacturing costs.
- May hinder natural motion due to bulk or misalignment.
- Regulatory, safety, and user training issues complicate deployment.

VII. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis across applications indicates that exoskeletons effectively mitigate biomechanical stress—BLEEX and HAL enable load handling and mobility, while CLEVERarm supports functional arm movement. Ergonomic improvements reduce user discomfort, as demonstrated with rolling joints and low-reactance control. Torque compensation mechanisms highlight the importance of intelligent actuation.

International Journal of Research Publications in Engineering, Technology and Management (IJRPETM)

|www.ijrpetm.com | ISSN: 2454-7875 | editor@ijrpetm.com |A Bimonthly, Peer Reviewed & Scholarly Journal|

||Volume 3, Issue 1, January-February 2020||

DOI:10.15662/IJRPETM.2020.0301002

However, drawbacks persist: powered systems may increase axial joint compression due to added mass; industrial adoption is limited by cost, comfort, and user acceptance; healthcare systems must balance functional support with patient safety and training demands.

The trend toward passive or hybrid exoskeletons suggests pragmatic paths forward for near-term deployment, while advanced powered systems may become increasingly viable with better materials, power efficiency, and user adaptation.

VIII. CONCLUSION

Wearable exoskeletons hold transformative promise across industrial and healthcare domains. Pre-2019 advancements—from BLEEX and HAL to CLEVERarm and Ekso's passive designs—demonstrate that with thoughtful mechanical design, ergonomic alignment, and adaptive control, exoskeletons can significantly enhance human performance or rehabilitative outcomes.

Designing effective systems requires interdisciplinary integration—mechanical engineering, control systems, human factors, and application-specific validation. While challenges remain (weight, power, cost, safety), the potential benefits in worker safety and patient mobility justify continued innovation.

IX. FUTURE WORK

- **Material Innovations** – Explore lightweight composites and soft robotics for reduced mass and improved conformability.
- **Energy-Efficient Actuation** – Develop low-power, high-torque actuators and energy harvesting to extend battery life.
- **Adaptive Human–Machine Interfaces** – Incorporate intuitive control (e.g., EMG, intent detection) and proprioceptive feedback.
- **Longitudinal Field Studies** – Evaluate long-term health benefits, productivity gains, and user satisfaction in real work and clinic environments.
- **Regulatory Frameworks** – Establish safety standards, certification processes, and training guidelines for exoskeleton use.

REFERENCES

1. **BLEEX Exoskeleton (DARPA/Berkeley)** – *Wired* article on early leg exoskeleton [WIRED], plus Wikipedia summary [Wikipedia].
2. **Ekso Bionics Industrial Exosuit** – Time article on passive exoskeleton aiding construction workers (2016) [TIME].
3. **HAL (Hybrid Assistive Limb)** – Wikipedia overview, including clinical use in Japan and Europe (~2012–2013) [Wikipedia].
4. **ReWalk Exoskeleton** – Wikipedia summary and FDA approval timeline (~2014) [Wikipedia].
5. **CLEVERarm Upper-Limb Exoskeleton** – arXiv paper (Dec 2017) [arXiv].
6. **Comfort-Centered Knee Exoskeleton Mechanics** – arXiv design paper (Feb 2019) [arXiv].
7. **Torque Compensation Controllers** – arXiv human-in-loop lower limb exoskeleton study (Jul 2019) [arXiv].
8. **Archelis Wearable Chair** – Wikipedia overview (2016)